

Fuzzy membership scores for the set activation

	A_1985	A_1990	A_1998	~A_1985	~A_1990	~A_1998
UK	.08	.02	.11	.92	.98	.89
Ireland	.19	.41	1.0	.81	.59	0
US	0	0	0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Canada	.05	.04	.04	.95	.96	.96
Australia	0	.26	.10	.99	.74	.90
New Zealand	.81	.33	.27	.19	.67	.73
Austria	.06	.25	.38	.94	.75	.62
Belgium	.33	.49	.66	.67	.51	.34
France	.07	.31	.44	.93	.69	.56
Germany	.17	.57	.44	.83	.43	.56
Netherlands	.24	.5	1.0	.76	.5	0
Switzerland	.70	.46	.65	.30	.54	.35
Denmark	.5	.5	1.0	.5	.5	0
Finland	.64	.24	.31	.36	.76	.69
Norway	.90	1.0	.87	.10	0	.13
Sweden	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0	0

Note: **A** refers to the set activation; **~A** refers to the set not-activation.

Fuzzy membership scores for the set generosity

	G_1985	G_1990	G_1998	~G_1985	~G_1990	~G_1998
UK	.09	.03	0	.91	.97	1
Ireland	.41	.24	.13	.59	.76	.87
US	.32	.27	.27	.68	.73	.73
Canada	.66	.63	.57	.34	.37	.43
Australia	.12	.14	.10	.88	.86	.90
New Zealand	.25	.14	.09	.75	.86	.91
Austria	.63	.63	.61	.37	.37	.39
Belgium	.80	.76	.75	.20	.24	.25
France	.69	.71	.68	.31	.29	.32
Germany	.79	.76	.72	.21	.24	.28
Netherlands	.93	.77	.83	.07	.23	.17
Switzerland	.80	.80	.78	.20	.20	.22
Denmark	.77	.64	.56	.23	.36	.44
Finland	.74	.69	.60	.26	.31	.40
Norway	.83	.82	.81	.17	.18	.19
Sweden	.92	.89	.75	.08	.11	.25

Note: **G** refers to the set generosity; **~G** refers to the set not-generosity.

Fuzzy membership scores for the set protection

	P_1980s	P_1990s	P_2003	~P_1980s	~P_1990s	~P_2003
UK	.04	.04	.08	.96	.96	.92
Ireland	.16	.16	.24	.84	.84	.76
US	0	0	0	1	1	1
Canada	.12	.12	.12	.88	.88	.88
Australia	.16	.28	.28	.84	.72	.72
New Zealand	0	.16	.4	1	.84	.6
Austria	.68	.68	.56	.32	.32	.44
Belgium	1.0	.68	.68	0	.32	.32
France	.88	1.0	1.0	.12	0	0
Germany	1.0	.8	.68	0	.20	.32
Netherlands	.88	.64	.64	.12	.36	.36
Switzerland	.24	.24	.24	.76	.76	.76
Denmark	.72	.36	.36	.28	.64	.64
Finland	.72	.64	.60	.28	.36	.40
Norway	.96	.88	.84	.04	.12	.16
Sweden	1.0	.68	.68	0	.32	.32

Note: **P** refers to the set protection; **~P** refers to the set not-protection.

Fuzzy membership scores for shifts in welfare and workfare. 'atheoretical' ideal types

Country	Model	1985	1995	2002
UK	(~A*G*~P)	.09	.03	0
	(A*~G*P)	.04	.02	.08
	(~A*~G*P)	.04	.04	.08
Ireland	(~A*G*~P)	.41	.24	0
	(A*~G*P)	.16	.16	.24
	(~A*~G*P)	.16	.16	0
US	(~A*G*~P)	.32	.27	.27
	(A*~G*P)	0	0	0
	(~A*~G*P)	0	0	0
Canada	(~A*G*~P)	.66	.63	.57
	(A*~G*P)	.05	.04	.04
	(~A*~G*P)	.12	.12	.12
Australia	(~A*G*~P)	.12	.14	.10
	(A*~G*P)	.01	.30	.1
	(~A*~G*P)	.16	.28	.28
New Zealand ^a	(~A*G*~P)	.19	.14	.09
	(A*~G*P)	0	.16	.27
	(~A*~G*P)	0	.16	.40
Austria	(~A*G*~P)	.32	.32	.44
	(A*~G*P)	.06	.25	.38
	(~A*~G*P)	.37	.37	.39
Belgium	(~A*G*~P)	0	.32	.32
	(A*~G*P)	.20	.24	.25
	(~A*~G*P)	.20	.24	.25
France	(~A*G*~P)	.12	0	0
	(A*~G*P)	.07	.29	.32
	(~A*~G*P)	.31	.29	.32
Germany	(~A*G*~P)	0	.20	.32
	(A*~G*P)	.17	.24	.28
	(~A*~G*P)	.21	.24	.28

contd.../

...contd/				
Netherlands	(~A*G*~P)	.12	.36	0
	(A*~G*P)	.07	.23	.17
	(~A*~G*P)	.07	.23	0
Switzerland	(~A*G*~P)	.30	.54	.36
	(A*~G*P)	.20	.20	.22
	(~A*~G*P)	.20	.20	.22
Denmark	(~A*G*~P)	.28	.50	0
	(A*~G*P)	.23	.36	.36
	(~A*~G*P)	.23	.36	0
Finland	(~A*G*~P)	.28	.36	.40
	(A*~G*P)	.26	.24	.31
	(~A*~G*P)	.26	.31	.40
Norway	(~A*G*~P)	.04	0	.13
	(A*~G*P)	.17	.18	.19
	(~A*~G*P)	.10	0	.13
Sweden	(~A*G*~P)	0	0	0
	(A*~G*P)	.08	.11	.25
	(~A*~G*P)	0	0	0

Note: Due to data availability, employment protection is measured over late 1980s, late 1990s, and 2003.

^a There are no protection data for New Zealand over late 1980s, so late 1990s data is used.

Sources: Data on activation: Armingeon (2005, OECD Labour Market Statistics); data on generosity: Scruggs (2004); data on protection OECD (1999, 2004).